INTRODUCTION

Human population in countries around the world has been using plants from thousands of years for treating/ameliorating various ailments of humans and animals. This traditional knowledge about the plants can be transferred to several generations only by proper documentation of their botanical, physicochemical, phytochemical characters and along with their medicinal uses in the form of monographs as per the WHO guidelines and presented as herbal Pharmacopoeia. These monographs enable to identify, authenticate, detect adulterants and standardize and use the plant material for therapeutic purposes.

Hibiscus micranthus Linn., is a shrubby, erect, branched, slender and stellately hairy plant. It is widely distributed in hotter parts of India, Ceylon, Saudi Arabia and tropical Africa. In India, the plant is known by different vernacular names in different regions as Chalabharate in telugu, sittamutti in tamil, chanakbhisto in gujrati and as okder in Sanskrit. Traditionally the plant is considered a valuable febrifuge in India, Ceylon, Saudi Arabia and tropical Africa.[1] In India certain parts of Gujarat, the fruits and flowers of this plant is used as hypoglycemic agent.[2] The plant has been scientifically validated for its antipyretic, anti-inflammatory, hematological effects[3] antimicrobial, antiviral, antitumor,[4] female antifertility, viralizing[5] and anabolizing[6] activities. Few compounds like Phenolic acids, flavonoids, β-sitosterol, alkanes, fatty alcohols and acids have been reported on carrying out conventional column chromatographic analysis. Upon literature survey, it was revealed that, no work as been reported on its pharmacognostic diagnostic features and chemical analysis by modern analytic tool like GC-MS, HPLC which reveals more details of its chemical composition. The present study deals with complete pharmacognostical and chemical profiling by using HPLC, HPTLC, GC-MS analysis.
**MATERIALS**

**Plant material**
The whole plant parts were collected in bharat institute of technology, mangalpally, Ibrahimpatnam & were authenticated by Taxonomist Jayaraman at the National Institute of Herbal science, Chennai, India. In order to ensure the sample used was from the same source throughout the experiment, the sample was collected in sufficient quantities at a time.

The plant *Hibiscus micranthus Linn.* was washed thoroughly with running tap water, followed by rinsing with distilled water and then leaves, stem & roots were separated and cut into small pieces. The leaves and stems were shade dried at room temperature, while roots were dried in oven at 45°C for two weeks. The dried parts of the plant were powdered in mill to a mesh size of 150 and stored in an air tight container till further use.

**Chemicals and equipments**
All the chemicals used in the study were of analytical grade (SD fine chemicals pvt ltd. Mumbai) obtained from the central store house of the institution. Rutin was obtained from lobei chem. Pvt ltd Mumbai. Microtome (secor, India) UV spectrophotometer 1801 shizadzu, Muffle furnace (Biotechnics, India), Nikon camera, HPLC (waters), HPTLC (Camag, Switzerland), GCMS shimadzhu.

**METHODS**

**Pharmacognostic studies**

**Macroscopic:** The following macroscopic characters for the fresh stems were noted with the help of organs of senses: size and shape, color, odor and taste whether herbaceous or woody, upright or creeping, smooth or ridged, hairs present or not if so whether of the glandular or covering form.

**Microscopy**

**Plant Collection and preparation for anatomical studies**
The plant specimens for the anatomical study were collected from Bharat institute of technology, mangalpally, Ibrahimpatnam. Care was taken to select healthy plants and normal organs. The required samples of different organs were cut and removed from the plant and fixed in FAA (Formalin-5 ml + Acetic acid-5 ml + 70% ethyl alcohol- 90 ml). After 24 hrs of fixing, the specimens were dehydrated with graded series of tertiary-butyl alcohol. Infiltration of the specimens was carried by gradual addition of paraffin wax (melting point 58-60°C) until TBA solution attained super saturation. The specimens were cast into paraffin blocks.[7]

**Sectioning**
The paraffin embedded specimens were sectioned with the help of rotary microtome. The thickness of the sections was 10-12 µm. Dewaxing of the sections was by customary procedure. The sections were stained with Toluidine blue. Glycerin mounted temporary preparations were made for acerated/cleared materials.[8]

**Powder microscopy**
Powdered material of stem part was cleared with sodium hydroxide and mounted in glycerin medium after staining. Different cell component were studied and measured.[9]

**Histo chemical tests**
Examination of the powder for starch grains, lignin, mucilage, calcium oxalate crystals, cutin and suberin were carried out using standard techniques.[10]

**Photomicrographs**
Microscopic descriptions of tissues are supplemented with micrographs wherever necessary. Photographs of different magnifications were taken with Nikon lab photo 2 microscopic unit. For normal observations bright field was used. For the study of crystals, starch grains and lignified cells, polarized light was employed. Since these structures have birefringent property, under polarized light they appear bright against dark background. Descriptive terms of the anatomical features are as given in the standard anatomy books.[11]

**Physicochemical studies**
The Loss on drying, total ash, acid insoluble, water soluble ash and successive soxhlet extractives values were assayed according to standard Indian pharmaco poeia methods. For fluorescence analysis of the powder sample it was treated with different chemical reagents to observe various colour reactions in ordinary and UV light.[12]

**Phytochemical investigation**
Chemical tests were employed in the preliminary phytochemical screening for various secondary metabolites such as tannins, phenols, steroids, carbohydrates, proteins, alkaloids, saponins, anthracene derivatives, flavonoid glycosides, and cyanogenetic glycosides.[13]

**EXTRACTION**
The powdered stems were exhaustively extracted with 70% Hydroalcoholic for 1 week in a soxhlet extractor. The collected extracts were filtered and evaporated under
vacuum, yielded thick green residue. The residues was dried and stored in air tight container for further use.

**HPTLC FINGERPRINTING ANALYSIS**

The TLC fingerprint profile of Hydroalcoholic Extract of Hibiscus micranthus (HEHM) was carried out by HPTLC technique.

**Preparation of sample**
5 gms of *H. micranthus* stem powder sample was extracted with 25 ml 70% ethanol for 8 h under reflux, filtered the extract and repeated the process thrice. Pooled the filtered extracts and evaporated to dryness. Dissolve the residue in 50 ml 70% methanol. Aliquot of the extract was taken for TLC analysis.

**Chromatographic development**
Apply 10 µl to the chromatographic plate using a suitable applicator and place the plate in twin trough chamber, add mobile phase in one trough and plate in another. Allow the plate to equilibrate for about 20 minutes, and develop the plate to 8 cm. Remove the plate from the chamber and dry in air.

**Colour development**
Plates were derivatized with anisaldehyde-sulphuric acid and Lieberman burchard reagent.

**Thin Layer Chromatographic conditions**

**SPECTROPHOTOMETRIC ANALYSIS OF TOTAL FLAVONOİDAL CONTENT OF HEHM**

The total flavonoidal content of HEHM was determined by aluminum chloride colorimetric method. Preparation of Standard

**Preparation of Sample solution**
The sample solution of HEHM extract 1 mg/ml was prepared using methanol as solvent. The solution is passed through a vacuum filter containing whatman filter paper of pore size 0.45 µ to get particulate free sample.

**Procedure**
HEHM extract/standard rutin (1 ml) was mixed with 2 ml of methanol, 1 ml of 10% aluminum chloride, 1 ml of 1M potassium acetate and 1 ml of distilled water. The mixture was incubated at room temperature for 30 min. Blank sample was prepared by omitting the standard/HEHM extract. The absorbance of the mixture was measured at 415 nm with a Shimadzu UV-1801 spectrophotometer.

**HPLC ANALYSIS OF HEHM**

**Preparation of Sample solution**
100 mg of the HEHM extract was dissolved in methanol and suitably diluted to get a concentration of 10 µg/ml. The solution was subjected to sonication for degassing and later passed through a vacuum filter containing whatman filter paper of pore size 0.45 µ to get clear sample solution.

**Preparation of standard solution**
The procedure followed was same as that of sample solution, except the standard used was rutin 10 µg/ml.

**Testing procedure**
Test solution and standard solution are subjected to HPLC separately.

**HPLC operating conditions**
Shimadzu chromatographic system with two LC-10AT VP pumps, variable wavelength programmable UV–vis detector SPD-10A, VP CTO, -10 AS VP column oven (Shimadzu) A reversed phase C18 column (25 cm × 4.6 mm i.d., particle size 5 _m; YMC, IMC, Wilmington, NC, 28403, U.S.A.) and the HPLC system was monitored by software “Class-VP series version 5.03 (Shimadzu)”. Mobile Phase: Methanol: 2% acetic acid in water (70:30), Flow rate: 1 ml/minute, Injection volume: 20 µl, Detection: 264 nm.

**CHARACTERIZATION OF HEHM BY GCMS ANALYSIS**

**Sample Preparation**
200 mg of the sample was dissolved in 1 ml of the n-hexane. The mixture was sonicated for 15 minutes. 5 µl of the test solution was directly injected into the system.
Chromatographic analysis
The sample was analyzed using Shimadzu GC-MS-QP2010 Plus apparatus equipped with quadrupole detector and split injection system. The GC was fitted with a ZP-624 capillary column (30 mm × 1.4 mm, film thickness 0.25 μm). The temperature programmed was as follows: injector temperature 220°C, initial oven temperature at 120°C for 2 minutes, then rises to 250°C at the rate of 10°C per minute at 250°C for 25 minutes, transfer line temperature 220°C. Helium was used as carrier gas at 35.6 Kpa pressure with flow 2.5 ml/min and electronic pressure control on. The EM voltage was 952.9 V with lower and upper mass limits set at 30 & 350 m/z. Samples were solved in n-hexane and injected automatically. MS spectra of separated compounds were compared with one from Wiley 7 Nist 05 mass spectral database. The identity of the spectra above 95% was needed for the identification of compounds.

RESULTS
Pharmacognostic Studies
Macroscopical study revealed the dried stems are generally cylindrical, up to 1 cm thick, woody, upright, outer surface smooth in young stems and rough in old stems, greenish externally, yellowish internally, fracture splintery, taste astringent and slightly bitter, odour without any characteristic aroma, agreeable.[17]

Microscopical characters are the stem has epidermal layer of squarish cells with thick cuticle; it is broken at certain places due to growth in thickness of the stem (Fig No. 1). The epidermis is followed by a narrow zone of chlorenchymatous cortex and four or five layers of parenchymatous inner cortex. Secondary phloem is wide and continuous all around the stem. It has wide dilated funnel shaped rays at certain places (Fig No. 2). In other regions, the secondary phloem has tangential blocks of phloem fibres alternating with narrow segments of phloem elements. Secondary xylem is a thick hollow cylinder and consists dense xylem fibres and radial files of vessels which are separated by wide gaps. The vessels are circular, thin walled and diffuse in distribution; they include both wide and narrow vessels, the wide vessels are 40 μm in diameter; the narrow vessels are 20 μm wide. The pith is wide and parenchymatous. It consists of angular, thick walled parenchymatous cells.

Stem powder analysis (Fig No. 3 & 4) revealed the presence of Fibres and vessel elements abundant in the powder. The fibres are libriform type with lignified thick walls and pointed tips. They are 500-650 μm long. The vessel elements are cylindrical and elongated. They have perforations plate which may be horizontal or oblique. The vessel elements with oblique perforations plates have short, pointed tails. The lateral wall pits elliptical, multiseriate and alternate. The vessel elements are 200 μm long and 40 μm wide.

Physicochemical studies
Physical constants like Ash values, Extractive values and Loss on Drying at 110°C were determined and results are shown in Table No. 1. The behavior of powdered drug in different solutions towards ordinary and UV light were observed and the results are recorded in Table No. 2. The Preliminary Phytochemical tests of different extracts were performed, identified with using specific reagents and results

Figure 1: T.S of stem – a sector enlarged
are shown in Table No. 3. HPTLC fingerprinting studies was carried out & \( R_f \) values are measured and tabulated in Table No. 4.

**Histo-chemical tests**

Histo-chemical reactions were obtained using toluidine blue a polychromatic stain. Th dye rendered pink colour to the cellulose walls, blue to the lignified cells, dark green to suberin, violet to the mucilage, blue to the protein bodies etc. whereas IKI (blue for starch)

**Extraction**

The hydroalcoholic extract obtained was thick green and yield was found to be 7.6%
SPECTROPHOTOMETRIC ANALYSIS TOTAL FLAVONOIDAL CONTENT OF HEHM

Spectrophotometric analysis of HEHM for total flavonol content was determined by using standard curve prepared by using rutin. The linearity was found in the range of 10-to-200 µg/ml. The total flavonol content was expressed as rutin equivalent in % w/w of the extract. The flavonoidal content was found to be 3.86 mg/100 gm of the extract.

HPLC analysis of HEHM

The rutin content of the HEHM of stem was determined by HPLC method. The analysis was performed by the injection of 20 µl of extract on a lichrospher 100RP-18(5 µm) column (250 × 4 mm), elution using mobile phase as methanol and 2% acetic acid in water (70:30) with runtime of 10min and detection by UV detector at 355 nm. Rutin

HPTLC fingerprinting analysis

HPTLC fingerprinting studies was carried out & Rₜ values are recorded and tabulated in Table No. 4.
According to World health organization guidelines\cite{18} (WHO) the macroscopy, microscopy, physiochemical and phytochemical description of a medicinal plant are the important steps towards establishing its identity and purity and should be carried out before any test/project are undertaken.

**DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION**

In India, people have been using the plants from their surroundings to treat various ailments apart from drugs mentioned in traditional system of medicine such as ayurveda and siddha. Now it has become necessary to lay standards even to such ethanobotanical drugs in similar lines with that of traditional drugs as knowledge about the identity and uses of these plants are being lost. Further, any pharmacological or pharmaceutical investigations on these plants first require proper authentication.

**GC-MS analysis**

The results of GC-MS analysis is presented in Table No. -5

According to World health organization guidelines\cite{18} (WHO) the macroscopy, microscopy, physiochemical and phytochemical description of a medicinal plant are the important steps towards establishing its identity and purity and should be carried out before any test/project are undertaken.

_Hibiscus micranthus_ has scientifically proven for multifaceted biological functions. However, there are no detailed pharmacognostic and phytochemical investigations on this plant to help in proper identification. Hence, to provide key diagnostic tools of identification the present study is undertaken.

To diagnose this plant crude drug the following pharmacognostic and phytochemical characters of the drug are the important.

**Macroscopy-** The macroscopical characters of the stem can serve as diagnostic parameters.

### Table 4: Rf values of the HPTLC fingerprint of *Hibiscus micranthus* in mobile phase-I/II/III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extract</th>
<th>Amount applied (μg/spot)</th>
<th>Rf values (H. micranthus stem extract)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol: water (70:30)</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>UV 254 nm UV 366 nm After derivatisation with anisaldehyde sulfuric Acid reagent under visible light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.63, 0.71 (dark) 0.64 (yellowish green) 0.67 (pink) 0.08 (blue quenching), 0.66 (pink)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extract</th>
<th>Amount applied (μg/spot)</th>
<th>Rf values (H. micranthus stem extract)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol: water (70:30)</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>UV 254 nm UV 366 nm After derivatisation with anisaldehyde sulfuric Acid reagent under visible light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.28, 0.78 (dark) 0.31, 0.35, 0.44 (light red)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extract</th>
<th>Amount applied (μg/spot)</th>
<th>Rf values (H. micranthus stem extract)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol: water (70:30)</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>UV 254 nm UV 366 nm After derivatisation with Libermann burchard reagent under visible light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.11 (dark) 0.02, 0.11, 0.16, 0.55, 0.64 (blue)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extract</th>
<th>Amount applied (μg/spot)</th>
<th>Rf values (H. micranthus stem extract)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol: water (70:30)</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>UV 254 nm UV 366 nm After derivatisation with Libermann burchard reagent under visible light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.11, 0.77 (yellow) 0.12 (light blue), 0.16 (blue), 0.20 (green), 0.26 (blue)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5: Volatile compounds from methanolic extract of stem of *H. micranthus* Linn. as detected by GC-MS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peak</th>
<th>Retention Time</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>% matching with Wiley library</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>Ethyl-D5 ethyl ether</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>Hexane, 1-chloro</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>2-Methylpropane-1, 2-diol</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>Octane, 4-ethyl</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>Alpha-D-Galactopyranoside, methyl</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.38</td>
<td>Methylene ethane-2, 2, 2-D3-Sulfonate</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.61</td>
<td>Butanedioic acid monomethyl ester</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>2-n-propyl thiophane</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>7.51</td>
<td>Benzoic acid</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>7.79</td>
<td>Formic acid, penty l ester</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>8.38</td>
<td>Nonanoic acid</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>8.52</td>
<td>Benzofuran, 2, 3-dihydro-</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>8.67</td>
<td>1, 3, 5-cycloheptatriene</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>8.76</td>
<td>3-pyridinecarboxylic acid</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>8.95</td>
<td>3, 3-Dimethylthi liberated</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>9.19</td>
<td>2-Methoxy-4-vinylphenol</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>9.29</td>
<td>Methyl-beta-D-arabinopyranoside</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>9.39</td>
<td>2, 6-Dimethyl-3-trans-propenylpyrazine</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>9.61</td>
<td>1-Di(t-butyl)silyloxypropane</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>9.82</td>
<td>1, 10-Decane-1, 1, 10, 10 d4-diol</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>9.97</td>
<td>Propanoic acid, 2-methyl-</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>10.11</td>
<td>2-cyclopenten-1-one, 2-methyl</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>10.25</td>
<td>Benzamide</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>10.55</td>
<td>2-ethyl-2', 2', 2'-D3-Cyclopentanone</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>10.80</td>
<td>(1, 1'-Bicyclopropyl)-2-octanoic acid, 2'-hexyl-, methyl ester</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>10.97</td>
<td>Benzene, methyl-</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>11.38</td>
<td>Suberic acid monomethyl ester</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>11.47</td>
<td>Thiacyclohexan-4-ol</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>11.56</td>
<td>2-allylpent-4-enolic acid, methyl ester</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>11.68</td>
<td>L-Menthol</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>11.82</td>
<td>Dodecanoic acid</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>11.95</td>
<td>Ethanone, 1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>12.09</td>
<td>2-Octenal</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>12.20</td>
<td>3', 5'-Dimethoxyacetophenone</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>12.27</td>
<td>2-Butylenedioic acid, diethyl ester</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>12.37</td>
<td>Octanedioic acid</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>12.37</td>
<td>Octanedioic acid</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>12.52</td>
<td>1, 6-anhydro-beta-D-Glucopyranoside</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>12.68</td>
<td>Alpha-Methyl-alpha-propylsuccinimide</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>12.76</td>
<td>1, 2, 4-Trimethoxybenzene</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>12.83</td>
<td>Acetohydrazide, 2-hydroxy-2-phenyl-N2-but-2-enyleneno</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>13.04</td>
<td>2-Cyclohexyldimethylsilyloxybut-3-ynyl</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>13.12</td>
<td>4-pyridinecarboxylic acid, 3-hydroxy-5-(hydroxymethyl)-2-methyl-</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>13.19</td>
<td>Alpha-Methyl-D-mannopyranoside</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>13.40</td>
<td>Octahydro-Naphthalene-1, 1A-diol</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>13.57</td>
<td>1-Methyl-4-Phenyl-1, 2, 3, 6-tetrahydroprydine</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>13.74</td>
<td>4-Methyl-5-imidazolone methyl</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>13.86</td>
<td>Dihydrojasmon</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>13.98</td>
<td>Eicosanoic acid</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>14.13</td>
<td>3-Fluorobenzoic acid, docdec-9-ynyl ester</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>14.76</td>
<td>Oxirane, hexadecyl</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>14.93</td>
<td>Eicosanoic acid</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>15.01</td>
<td>Mome inositol</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>15.29</td>
<td>Heptadecanoic acid</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>15.55</td>
<td>Hexadecanoic acid, methyl ester</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>16.02</td>
<td>2-Butenal, 2-methyl-4-(2, 6, 6-trimethyl-1-cyclohexen-1-yl)</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>16.37</td>
<td>2H-Pyran-2-one, 5-ethylidenetetrahydro-4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>16.50</td>
<td>Pluchidiol</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>16.84</td>
<td>1, 10-Dimethyl-2-methylene-trans-decalin</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>16.92</td>
<td>1-Methyl-1-n-decxylo-1-silacyclohexane</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>18.97</td>
<td>Cyclopropanebutyric acid-2 [2-nonylcyclopropyl]methyl-, methyl ester</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>19.24</td>
<td>9, 12, 15-Octadecatienoic acid, methyl ester</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>19.65</td>
<td>1-Docosanol</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Secondary metabolites- The phytochemical screening of successive extracts revealed the presence of phenols, tannins, steroids, carbohydrates and flavonoids. Thus, the preliminary phytochemical tests are helpful in finding chemical constituents in the plant material that may lead to their quantitative estimation and in locating the source of pharmacologically active chemical compounds.\[14,21-23\]

HPTLC fingerprinting- helps in quantification, identification and checking the purity of the crude drug. The hydroalcoholic extract of *Hibiscus micranthus* produces three different patterns of bands in Mobile Phase – I: Ethyl acetate: methanol – glacial acetic Acid (10:1.35:1), Mobile phase – II: Chloroform – methanol (9.9:0.1), Mobile phase – III: Ethyl acetate: formic acid – glacial acetic acid – water (10:1.1:1.1:2.6). The separation efficiency of mobile phase-III was maximum and total of five spots with Rf values 0.02, 0.11, 0.16, 0.55, 0.64 (blue) where detected under UV 366 nm. For identification of the drug, fingerprints in all three mobile phases will be helpful.

Estimation of rutin by HPLC- The Hydroalcoholic extract was quantified by HPLC studies which showed the presence of selected marker compound rutin and its retention time and λ max were similar to standard. The Photo diode array detector was used and set in the range of 200-780 nm. The rutin content was found to contain 0.12% w/w of air dried extract. Thus, the proposed method is rapid, selective, requires a simple sample preparation procedure, and represents a good procedure for their quantification in plant material and in routine quality control of herbal drugs.

GC-MS analysis of HEHM- Further HEHM was analyzed by GC-MS to detect for volatile components. A total of 56 compounds were identified from the methanolic extract of the stem parts. The identified compounds represented 89.41% of the extract. The main components of the methanolic extract of stem parts were 7-Hexadecyn-1-ol(11.32%), 9,12,15-Octadecatrienoic acid methyl ester (7.88%), triacontanoic acid methyl ester (5.21%), octadecane (3.74%), 1-docosanol (3.61%), cyclopropanebutyric acid 2-(2-nonylcyclopropyl) methyl-methyl ester (3.95%), 1-methyl-1-n-decyloxy-1-silacyclobutane (5.82%), Hexadecanoic acid methyl ester

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>peak</th>
<th>Retention Time</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>% matching with Wiley library</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>21.20</td>
<td>7-Hexadecyn-1-ol</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>21.56</td>
<td>9, 12, 15-Octadecatrienoic acid, methyl ester</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>24.36</td>
<td>Capsaicin</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>26.54</td>
<td>Triacontanoic acid, methyl ester</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>30.56</td>
<td>Octadecane</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>31.18</td>
<td>4, 8, 12, 16-Tetramethylheptadecan-4-olide</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>31.80</td>
<td>Cycloheptanon, 3-butyl-</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>32.01</td>
<td>Tetratriacontane</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>36.03</td>
<td>Cyclopentanetridecanoic acid, methyl ester</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Microscopy- The microscopical studies of the transverse section and powder showed presence of abundant lignified, thick wall, libiform type of fibres with pointed tips & vessel elements with oblique perforations plates having short, pointed tails, which are distinguishing microscopic features and serve as anatomical markers.\[19\]

Histochemical- plant metabolites are generally located in vegetative or reproductive organs. These chemicals have several uses. In Pharmacognosy discipline, these are also been utilized for identification and detection of purity of the crude drug.\[14\]

Ash values- are measure of inorganic content of the drug. These values are constant for pure drugs and increased/decreased when contaminated with soil and adulterants.\[14\]

Extractive values- Based on extractive values the correct time of collection of drug, type and conditions of extraction process and nature of chemical constituents present in the drug can be determined. These are also useful for the evaluation especially when the constituents of drug cannot be readily estimated by any other means. The drug under investigation found to contain more of polar constituents.\[14\]

Loss on drying- is determination of moisture content of the drug. Presence of moisture in the crude drugs serves has suitable media for bacterial growth, causes degradation of moisture sensitive chemical constituents and gives information about moisture absorbing chemical constituents of plant drugs. The crude drug powder contains less than 5%.\[14\]

Fluorescence studies- The drug emits visible radiations of different wavelength when observed in various solvents at 254nm. The stem powder exhibited Yellowish fluorescence (powder as such), Dark green colour (10% sodium hydroxide solution), pale yellow (glacial acetic acid), Greenish fluorescence (chloroform), yellow fluorescence (methanol), yellow fluorescence (distilled water) and green colour (10% potassium hydroxide). These tests may consider as one of the parameters for characterization of the genuine drug samples.\[20\]
(2.16%), oxirane hexadecyl-(2.60%), octahydro-naphthalene-1,8A-diol(2.19%), and octanedioic acid(4.13%). The GC-MS analysis revealed that the methanolic extract is mainly composed of fatty acid esters.

The pharmacognostic and phyto-chemical investigations of the *Hibiscus micranthus* L. stem has been carried out for the first time. Chemo profiling by Spectrophotometric, HPLC, HPTLC and GC-MS analysis can be utilized for identification, quantification and characterization of chemical markers present in *Hibiscus micranthus* Linn. This could also serve in the establishing data for preparation of monograph of this plant.
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