Evaluation of the potential of *Macadamia integriflora* extracts as antibacterial food agents
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**ABSTRACT:** Background: *Macadamia integriflora* (family Proteaceae) is an endemic Australian plant traditionally used by Australian Aborigines as a food. Its nuts are known to keep well, raising the possibility that they may contain antimicrobial compounds and therefore may have value as a functional food to retard spoilage and prevent food poisoning, as well as potential medicinal antibiotic uses. **Methods:** The antimicrobial activity of *M. integriflora* was investigated by disc diffusion assays against a panel of bacteria and fungi. Toxicity was determined using the *Artemia franciscana* nauplii bioassay. **Results:** All *M. integriflora* extracts displayed antimicrobial activity in the disc diffusion assay. The flower methanol extract had the broadest specificity, inhibiting the growth of 7 of the 14 bacteria tested (50%) and all 3 (100%) of the fungi tested. All other extracts inhibited the growth of 6 (42.9%) of the bacterial species tested and up to 2 (66.6%) of the fungi tested. All extracts were more effective at inhibiting the growth of Gram-negative bacteria than Gram-positive bacteria. Indeed, only the flower methanol extract was capable of inhibiting the growth of any of the Gram-positive bacteria, inhibiting the growth of only 1 (*B. cereus*) of the 4 Gram-positive bacteria tested (25%). All *M. integriflora* extracts were non-toxic in the *Artemia franciscana* bioassay, with no significant increase in mortality induction above that of the negative control. **Conclusions:** The lack of toxicity of the *M. integriflora* extracts and their inhibitory bioactivity against a panel of bacteria and fungi demonstrate their potential as food additives to inhibit bacterial spoilage and food borne illnesses without the need for chemical preservative additives. Furthermore, *M. integriflora* extracts also have promise as antimicrobial agents for medicinal purposes.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Antimicrobial food spoilage and microbial induced food poisoning are major concerns to the food production industry. Incidences of food-borne illnesses were estimated at 76 million cases annually in the USA alone in a 1999 study, with at least 5000 deaths annually directly attributed to food poisoning. Addition of chemical preservatives during the food production process. Commonly used chemical food preservatives include butylhydroxyanisol (BHA), butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), calcium propionate, nitrates, nitrites, sulphur dioxide (SO2) and sulfites (SO3). The effectiveness of these chemical preservatives is dependent on the type of microbial flora and the physical and chemical characteristics of the food. Of concern, the safety of many of the chemical preservatives used in food has yet to be determined and in some cases these preservatives have been linked with serious health problems. Studies have indicated that chemical preservatives may cause respiratory problems, aggravate attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and cause anaphylactic shock in susceptible individuals.

Due to greater consumer awareness and the negative perceptions of artificial preservatives, consumers are increasingly avoiding foods containing preservatives of chemical
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Macadamia (family Proteaceae) is a genus of 9 species of flowering plants. Seven Macadamia species are native to eastern Australia, 1 species is native to Indonesia (Macadamia ballebrandii) and 1 species is native to New Caledonia (Macadamia nutans). Macadamia integriflora (Figure 1) (commonly known as macadamia nut, Queensland nut, bauple nut) is an Australian species, native to the state of Queensland in north-eastern Australia, although it has been introduced and is grown commercially in many tropical and subtropical regions internationally. Hawaii is a major producer of macadamia nuts (second in production only to Australia), with Brazil, Bolivia, Columbia, Costa Rica, Guatemala, Israel, Kenya, Malawi, New Zealand and South Africa all producing significant quantities commercially. M. integriflora nuts are a valuable food crop. Indeed, the macadamia nut is the only Australian native food plant which is currently produced and exported on a large scale.

M. integriflora nuts are high in fats, especially beneficial monosaturated fats and omega-7 palmitoleic acid,[7] which have been shown to decrease plasma cholesterol levels.[8] The high palmitoleic acid levels and the oxidative stability of M. integriflora nut oil make it a desirable component of cosmetics and skin care products.[7,9] The nuts also contain vitamins A1, E, B1, B2 and niacin in significant quantities.[7]

Despite the reported beneficial phytochemistry of the nuts, no reports were found in the published literature of Australian Aborigines using M. integriflora in traditional medicinal practices. However, this does not preclude their usage as traditional medicinal agents as much of the Aboriginal ethnobotanical knowledge was passed by word of mouth. As Australian Aborigines have assimilated into mainstream Australian society and have an increased reliance on allopathic medicines, much of this knowledge has been lost irrevocably.

Limited scientific studies have examined the therapeutic potential of M. integriflora. A recent study has reported antibacterial activity of methanolic extracts of M. integriflora leaves and flowers against a panel of bacteria.[10] Other studies have reported that MiAMP1 protein which has been detected in macademia nuts, is also present in some other plant species with antimicrobial activities.[11,12] The current study was undertaken to test M. integriflora extracts for the ability to inhibit microbial growth/contamination against a variety of bacteria involved in food spoilage and/or food poisoning and against some important fungi. Through examining the antibacterial capability of M. integriflora extracts, we aim to assess their potential as additives to foods to retard spoilage and to potentially reduce food poisoning in processed foods.

METHODS

Plant collection and extraction

M. integriflora leaves and flowers were collected from a single verified tree on Logan campus of Griffith University, Australia on 18 September 2007 as previously described.[10] Voucher specimens (leaf, 1L1E07; flower, 1F1E07) have been deposited in the School of Biomolecular and Physical Sciences, Griffith University, Australia. The leaves and flowers were cut up and dried in a Sunbeam food dehydrator and subsequently coarsely ground and stored at –30 °C until use.

1 g of plant material was weighed into each of five tubes and five different extracts were prepared by adding 50 ml of methanol, water, ethyl acetate, chloroform, or hexane respectively. All solvents were obtained from Ajax and were AR grade. The ground M. integriflora material was extracted in each solvent for 24 hours at 4 °C with gentle shaking. The extracts were filtered through filter paper (Whatman No. 54) under vacuum followed by drying by rotary evaporation in an Eppendorf concentrator 5301. The resultant dry extracts were weighed and redissolved in 10 ml deionised water.
Qualitative phytochemical studies

Phytochemical analysis of the *M. integriflora* extracts for the presence of saponins, phenolic compounds, flavonoids, polyesters, triterpenoids, cardiac glycosides, anthraquinones, tannins and alkaloids was conducted by previously described assays.[13–15]

**Antimicrobial screening**

**Test microorganisms**

All bacterial and fungal strains were obtained from Michelle Mendell and Tarita Morais, Griffith University, Australia. Stock cultures of *Aeromonas hydrophila*, *A. lactic*, *Bacillus cereus*, *Citrobacter freundii*, *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Proteus mirabilis*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Salmonella newport*, *Serratia marcescens*, *Shigella sonnei*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Staphylococcus epidermidis* and *Streptococcus pyogenes* were subcultured and maintained in nutrient broth at 4 °C. *Aspergillus niger*, *Candida albicans*, and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* were subcultured and maintained in Sabouraud media at 4 °C.

**Evaluation of antimicrobial activity**

Antimicrobial activity of each plant extract was determined using a modified Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method.[16–18] Briefly, 100 µl of the test bacteria/fungi were grown in 10 ml of fresh media until they reached a count of approximately 10⁸ cells/ml for bacteria, or 10⁵ cells/ml for fungi. 100 µl of microbial suspension was spread onto agar plates corresponding to the broth in which they were maintained.

The extracts were tested using 5 mm sterilised filter paper discs. Discs were impregnated with 10 µl of test sample, allowed to dry and placed onto inoculated plates. The plates were allowed to stand at 4 °C for 2 hours before incubation with the test microbial agents. Plates inoculated with *A. lactic*, *A. lactic* var. *A. hydrophila*, *Bacillus cereus*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Citrobacter freundii*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, *Serratia marcescens*, *Yersinia enterocolitia* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* were subcultured and maintained in artificial seawater for use in the bioassay. Mevinphos (2-methoxycarbonyl-1-methylnvinyl dimethyl phosphate) was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich as a mixture of cis (76.6%) and trans (23.0%) isomers and prepared as a 4 mg/ml stock in distilled water. The stock was diluted in artificial seawater for use in the bioassay.

*Artemia franciscana* nauplii bioassay.

**Toxicity screening**

**Reference toxins for biological screening**

Potassium dichromate (K₂Cr₂O₇) (AR grade, Chem-Supply, Australia) was prepared as a 2 µg/ml solution in distilled water and was serially diluted in synthetic seawater to use in the *A. franciscana* nauplii bioassay. Mevinphos (2-methoxycarbonyl-1-methylnvinyl dimethyl phosphate) was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich as a mixture of cis (76.6%) and trans (23.0%) isomers and prepared as a 4 mg/ml stock in distilled water. The stock was diluted in artificial seawater for use in the bioassay.

**Artemia franciscana** nauplii toxicity screening

Toxicity was tested using a modified *Artemia franciscana* nauplii lethality assay.[19–21] *Artemia franciscana* Kellogg cysts were obtained from North American Brine Shrimp, LLC, USA (harvested from the Great Salt Lake, Utah). Synthetic seawater was prepared using Reef Salt, AZOO Co., USA. Seawater solutions at 34 g/l distilled water were prepared prior to use. 2 g of *A. franciscana* cysts were incubated in 1 L synthetic seawater under artificial light at 25 °C, 2000 Lux with continuous aeration. Hatching commenced within 16–18 h of incubation. Newly hatched *A. franciscana* (nauplii) were used within 10 h of hatching. Nauplii were separated from the shells and remaining cysts and were concentrated to a suitable density by placing an artificial light at one end of their incubation vessel and the nauplii rich water closest to the light was removed for biological assays. Seawater (400 µl) containing approximately 46 (mean 46.3, n = 174, SD 17.8) nauplii were added to wells of a 48 well plate and immediately used for bioassay. The plant extracts were diluted to 5 mg/ml in seawater for toxicity testing, resulting in a 2.5 mg/ml concentration in the bioassay. 400 µl of diluted plant extracts and the reference toxins were transferred to the wells and incubated at 25 ± 1 °C under artificial light (1000 Lux). A negative control (400 µl seawater) was run in at least triplicate for...
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RESULTS

Liquid extraction yields and qualitative phytochemical screening

Extraction of 1 g of dried plant material with the various solvents yielded dried plant extracts ranging from 37.8 mg to 310.3 mg (Table 1). Chloroform gave the highest yield of extracted material for both leaf and flower extracts (310.3 ± 22.8 mg and 279.4 ± 24.6 mg respectively). Methanol (leaf, 75.0 ± 12.2 mg; flower, 97.8 ± 18.7 mg), water (leaf, 102.7 ± 14.7 mg; flower 121.6 ± 18.4 mg) and hexane (leaf, 131.0 ± 16.3 mg; flower, 144.8 ± 15.2 mg) also extracted relatively high levels of material. In contrast, ethyl acetate extracted the lowest mass (leaf, 37.8 ± 10.4 mg; flower, 71.0 ± 11.2 mg). The dried extracts were resuspended in 10 ml of deionised water, resulting in the extract concentrations shown in Table 1.

Phytochemical studies (Table 1) show that methanol and water extracted the widest range and largest amount of phytochemicals in this study. The methanol and water extracts of both leaves and flowers for both plants showed moderate to high levels of phenolics, flavonoids and tannins. Similar classes of phytochemicals were detected in the ethyl acetate, chloroform and hexane extracts, although generally at lower levels. The chloroform and hexane extracts showed only low levels of any class of extracted phytochemicals tested. Alkaloids were not detected in any extract of either the leaves or flowers.

Antibacterial activity

Aliquots (10 µl) of each extract was tested in the disc diffusion assay against a panel of 14 bacteria and 3 fungi (Table 2). All extracts displayed broad spectrum antibacterial activity, being capable of inhibiting the growth of between 6 (35%) and 10 (59%) of the 17 microbial species tested (Table 2). The methanolic extracts displayed the broadest antibiotic specificity, inhibiting the growth of 6 (42.9%) and 7 of the 14 bacteria tested (50.0%) for the leaf and flower extracts respectively. The leaf and flower methanolic extracts also inhibited the growth of 2 (66.7%) and 3 (100%) of the fungi tested respectively. The methanolic extracts were particularly potent against *A. hydrophilia*, *P. mirabilis* and *P. fluorescens* as determined

| Table 1: The mass of dried extracted material, the concentration of extracts after resuspension in deionised water and qualitative phytochemical screenings of solvent extractions |
|--------------------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Extract | Mass of Dried Extract (mg) | Resuspended Extract Concentration (mg/ml) | Total Phenolics | Water Soluble | Water Insoluble | Cardiac Glycosides | Saponins | Triterpenes | Polyesters | Alkaloids (Meyer test) | Alkaloids (Wagner test) | Flavonoids | Tannins | Free Anthraquinones | Combined Anthraquinones |
|--------------------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Leaf | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Methanol | 75.0 ± 12.2 | 7.5 ± 1.2 | +++ | ++ | + | – | – | – | – | – | ++ | ++ | – | – |
| Water | 102.7 ± 14.7 | 10.3 ± 1.5 | +++ | ++ | + | – | – | – | – | – | ++ | ++ | – | – |
| Ethyl Acetate | 37.8 ± 10.4 | 3.8 ± 1.0 | ++ | + | + | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| Chloroform | 310.3 ± 22.8 | 31.0 ± 2.3 | + | - | + | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| Hexane | 131.0 ± 16.3 | 13.1 ± 1.6 | + | - | + | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| Flower | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Methanol | 97.8 ± 18.7 | 9.8 ± 1.9 | +++ | ++ | + | – | – | – | – | – | ++ | ++ | – | – |
| Water | 121.6 ± 18.4 | 12.2 ± 1.8 | +++ | ++ | + | – | – | – | – | – | ++ | ++ | – | – |
| Ethyl Acetate | 41.0 ± 11.2 | 4.1 ± 1.1 | ++ | + | + | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| Chloroform | 279.4 ± 24.6 | 27.9 ± 2.5 | + | - | + | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| Hexane | 144.8 ± 15.2 | 14.5 ± 1.5 | + | - | + | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |

+++ indicates a large response; ++ indicates a moderate response; + indicates a minor response; – indicates no response in the assay.
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M. integriflora ethyl acetate and hexane extracts also displayed good antifungal specificity. The M. integriflora flower ethyl acetate extract inhibited the growth of 2 of the 3 fungi tested (66.7%). Similarly, the M. integriflora leaf ethyl acetate and the leaf and flower hexane extracts inhibited the growth of 1 of the 3 fungi tested (33.3%). Neither the water nor chloroform (fruit and leaf) extract displayed any antifungal activity.

The flower methanol extract was the only extracts capable of inhibiting the growth of any Gram-positive bacteria, inhibiting 1 (B. cereus) of the 4 Gram-positive bacteria tested (25%). All M. integriflora extracts inhibited the growth of 6 of the 10 Gram-negative bacteria tested (60%). The most susceptible bacteria to the M. integriflora extracts were A. hydrophila, P. mirabilis and P. fluorescens (as seen from the zone of inhibition).

The relative level of antibacterial activity was further evaluated by determining the MIC values for each extract against the bacterial and fungal species which were shown to be susceptible by disc diffusion assays. MIC’s were evaluated in the current studies by disc diffusion across a range of concentrations. This has previously been determined to be a valid method of MIC determination as MIC values determined by disc diffusion correlate well with those determined by broth dilution assays.[22]

All extracts tested proved to be effective bacterial growth inhibitors at low concentrations, with MIC values against all microbial species which they inhibited at <25 µg/ml (<0.25 µg impregnated in the disc). The methanol, water, ethyl acetate and chloroform extracts all displayed MIC values in the range of 2.4–7.9 µg/ml. The lowest MIC of the tested extracts was seen at 0.025 µg/ml.

### Table 2: Antibacterial activity of M. integriflora solvent extracts measured as zones of inhibition (mm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gram negative rods</th>
<th>M. Integriflora leaf</th>
<th>M. Integriflora flowers</th>
<th>Positive Controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. faecalis</td>
<td>Methanol extract</td>
<td>Water extract</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ethyl acetate extract</td>
<td>Chloroform extract</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hexane extract</td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. hydrophilia</td>
<td>8.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>9.8 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers indicate the mean diameters of inhibition ± SEM (mm) of at least triplicate experiments. – indicates no growth inhibition. Ampicillin (2 µg) and Chloramphenicol (10 µg) were used as the positive controls. Deionised water was included as a negative control.

from the zone of inhibition. M. integriflora ethyl acetate and hexane extracts also displayed good antifungal specificity. The M. integriflora flower ethyl acetate extract inhibited the growth of 2 of the 3 fungi tested (66.7%). Similarly, the M. integriflora leaf ethyl acetate and the leaf and flower hexane extracts inhibited the growth of 1 of the 3 fungi tested (33.3%). Neither the water nor chloroform (fruit and leaf) extract displayed any antifungal activity.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fruit</th>
<th>Gram-Negative Bacteria</th>
<th>Gram-Positive Bacteria</th>
<th>Fungi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>A. hydrophilia</em></td>
<td><em>C. freundi</em></td>
<td><em>E. coli</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl Acetate</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexane</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>19.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leaf</th>
<th>Gram-Negative Bacteria</th>
<th>Gram-Positive Bacteria</th>
<th>Fungi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>A. hydrophilia</em></td>
<td><em>C. freundi</em></td>
<td><em>E. coli</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl Acetate</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexane</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>21.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers indicate the mean MIC values of at least triplicate determinations. – indicates no growth inhibition at any concentration tested.

for the flower methanol extract against *P. mirabilis* (2.4 µg/ml). In contrast, the hexane extracts generally had lower efficacy, with MIC values in the range 10.3–22.1 µg/ml for all bacteria tested. Interestingly, the MIC values against the 1 fungal species inhibited by the hexane extracts (*C. albicans*) was slightly better (<10 µg/ml).

### Quantification of toxicity

*M. integriflora* extracts were diluted to a concentration of 2000 µg/ml in artificial seawater for toxicity testing, resulting in 1000 µg/ml concentrations in the *Artemia* nauplii lethality bioassay. For comparison, the reference toxins potassium dichromate (1000 µg/ml) and Mevinphos (2000 µg/ml) were also tested in the bioassay. Figure 2 shows the % mortality induced by each of the leaf extracts and by the controls at various times. The potassium dichromate (Figure 2G) and Mevinphos (Figure 2H) reference toxins were rapid in their onset of mortality. Both reference toxins induced mortality within the first 3 hours of exposure and 100% mortality was evident following 4–5 hours. In contrast, all of the *M. integriflora* leaf extracts (Figures 2A–E) displayed mortality rates similar to those of the artificial seawater negative control (Figure 2F) at 24, 48 and 72 h. It was not possible to accurately determine an LC<sub>50</sub> for any extract as the mortality did not exceed 50% for any extract at any time tested.

Similarly, the *M. integriflora* flower extracts were also found to be nontoxic in the *Artemia* nauplii bioassay. Figure 3 shows the % mortality induced by each of the fruit extracts and by the controls at various times. All of the *M. integriflora* flower extracts (Figures 3A–E) displayed mortality rates similar to those of the artificial seawater negative control (Figure 2F) at 24, 48 and 72 h. It was not possible to accurately determine an LC<sub>50</sub> for any extract as the mortality did not exceed 50% for any extract at any time tested.

### DISCUSSION

There is increasing consumer demand to find alternatives for chemical based artificial preservatives as consumers become more aware of the potential for chemical induced health problems. Edible plants could potentially provide a source of inhibitory substances for food borne pathogens and bacteria associated with food spoilage. The current study reports on the antibacterial activities of various *M. integriflora* extracts and on their toxicity. The ability of *M. integriflora* extracts to inhibit the growth of a wide variety of bacteria is in agreement with previous reports of the antibacterial activity of other Australian native plants. The antiseptic properties of the Eucalypts, Leptospermums, Callistemons, Melaleucas and Acacias have been extensively studied and shown to inhibit the growth of a wide variety of both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria.

The current study shows Gram-negative bacteria to be much more susceptible to *M. integriflora* extracts...
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Figure 2. Brine shrimp lethality of (a) M. integriflora leaf methanol extract (1000 µg/ml), (b) M. integriflora leaf water extract (1000 µg/ml), (c) M. integriflora leaf ethyl acetate extract (1000 µg/ml), (d) M. integriflora leaf chloroform extract (1000 µg/ml), (e) M. integriflora leaf hexane extract (1000 µg/ml), (f) artificial seawater negative control, (g) potassium dichromate (1000 µg/ml), (h) Mevinphos (2000 µg/ml). All bioassays were performed in at least triplicate and are expressed as mean ± SEM.

than Gram-positive bacteria. Indeed, only the flower methanolic extract was capable of inhibiting any Gram-positive bacteria, albeit inhibiting the growth of only 1 (B. cereus) of the 4 Gram-positive bacteria tested (25%). The greater susceptibility of Gram-negative bacteria observed in this study is in contrast to previous studies which have reported a greater susceptibility of Gram-positive bacteria towards solvent extracts for South American,[30] African[31,32] and Australian[33] plant extracts. Results within this laboratory[10,34–36] have also confirmed the greater susceptibility of Gram-positive bacteria towards many other Australian plant extracts, although examples of Australian plants having a greater effect on Gram-negative bacteria have also been reported.[13,14]

The bacteria examined in this study were chosen because they are all important bacteria in food spoilage and/or food poisoning/intoxication. Staphylococcus spp. (especially S. aureus) is one of the most common sources of food borne diseases worldwide.[2] S. pyogenes contamination (especially of dairy products and salads) can cause pharyngitis as well as gastroenteritis and diarrhoea.[37] B. cereus,[38] E. coli,[39] C. freundii,[40] K. pneumoniae,[40] and S. sonnei[41] all produce toxins and other proteins that induce gastroenteritis and diarrheal diseases. Many of these toxins are heat stable and are not destroyed by heat treatments/pasteurisation. Therefore, control of these bacteria in food is particularly important. Similarly, P. mirabilis releases factors that stimulate histamine production resulting in gastrointestinal, neurological (palpitations, headaches, itching), cutaneous (hives, rash) and hypertension symptoms.[42] Whilst storage of food at refrigerated temperatures inhibits the growth of many of these pathogenic bacteria, the inclusion of antibacterial food components would further enhance food safety.

Of the pathogenic/toxic bacteria tested in this study, the Staphylococcus spp. and S. pyogenes were unaffected by any of the M. integriflora extracts. This is likely related
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To the fact that these are Gram-positive coccus bacteria. As previously noted, Gram-positive bacteria were less susceptible to the M. integriflora extracts tested in this study. The only susceptible Gram-positive bacteria examined (B. cereus) was only susceptible to the flower methanolic extract. As B. cereus is a Gram-positive rod, it would be of interest to test the susceptibility of other Gram-positive rod bacteria in future studies. Of the Gram-negative bacteria tested, only A. faecalis, K. pneumoniae and S. marcescens were resistant to all of the M. integriflora extracts. All other bacteria were inhibited by at least 1 of the extracts tested. Indeed, A. hydrophila, C. freundii, E. coli, P. mirabilis, P. fluorescens and S. marcescens were inhibited by all extracts, demonstrating the potential of M. integriflora inclusion in processed foods for controlling food borne diseases.

Also particularly interesting was the ability of the M. integriflora extracts to inhibit the growth of psychotropic bacteria. Many foods are stored below 5 °C in refrigerators to retard bacterial growth. These foods are expected to have long shelf lives, in some cases up to 50 days or more. Between processing and consumption, foods may become temperature abused to 10 °C or higher allowing psychotropic bacteria (eg. A. faecalis, A. hydrophila, B. cereus and P. fluorescens) to cause spoilage. Some pathogenic bacteria are also psychotropic (eg. B. cereus and some strains of C. freundii, E. coli and K. pneumoniae).

Therefore, food based antibacterial agents with inhibitory activity against psychotropic bacteria are especially useful. With the exception of A. faecalis which was not inhibited by any extract in this study, all of the psychotropic bacteria tested were inhibited by at least one M. integriflora extract. Indeed, of the psychotropic bacteria associated with spoilage, A. hydrophila and P. fluorescens growth was inhibited by all extracts. Of the psychotropic bacteria associated with food poisoning, C. freundii and E. coli were also susceptible to all of the M. integriflora extracts.

Individual M. integriflora extract components responsible for the antibacterial potential of the solvent extracts were not identified in the current study. Previous reports have identified various bioactive components of other

Figure 3. Brine shrimp lethality of (a) M. integriflora flower methanol extract (1000 µg/ml), (b) M. integriflora flower water extract (1000 µg/ml), (c) M. integriflora flower ethyl acetate extract (1000 µg/ml), (d) M. integriflora flower chloroform extract (1000 µg/ml), (e) M. integriflora flower hexane extract (1000 µg/ml), (f) artificial seawater negative control, (g) potassium dichromate (1000 µg/ml), (h) Mevinphos (2000 µg/ml). All bioassays were performed in at least triplicate and are expressed as mean ± SEM.
Australiamedicinalplants (Eucalypts,[43]Leptospernum,[44]Melaleuca)[45]). Theseplants allcontainterpenes including 1, 8-cineole, terpinen-4-ol, α-pinene and β-pinene. Both 1, 8-cineole and terpinen-4-ol have antimicrobial activity.[46,47] Recentstudies have also reported on theantibacterial activities of the Callistemons[26,48] and Syzygiums.[49–51] It has been postulated that terpene components may also be responsible for the antiseptic properties of these plants.[52] The phytochemistry of the M. integriflora extracts investigated in the current study was not examined. Further studies are required to identify which phytochemical(s) is/are responsible for the recorded bioactivities of these extracts.

Thefindings reported here also demonstrate that none of the M. integriflora extracts displayed significant toxicity towards Artemia franciscana. Indeed, none of the extracts tested induced mortality above that of the negative control at the doses tested (1000 µg/ml). Previously, compounds with an LC₅₀ of greater than 1000 µg/ml towards Artemia nauplii have been defined as being non-toxic.[53] It was therefore determined that all M. integriflora extracts were non-toxic towards Artemia nauplii.

In conclusion, the results of this study demonstratethe antibacterial potential of M. integriflora in food preparation and indicate that M. integriflora extracts are worthy of further study. Further evaluation of the antibacterial properties of these extracts against a more extensive panel of microbial agents is warranted. Likewise, purification and identification of the bioactive components is needed to examine the mechanisms of action of these agents. Whilst the extracts examined in this report are promising as antibacterial agents, caution is needed before these compounds can be applied to functional food and medicinal purposes. In particular, further toxicity studies using human cell lines are needed to verify the suitability of these extracts for these purposes.
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